

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/525,090	03/14/2000	Walid Ahmed	12-12-11-9-26	9116	
22046 7:	590 06/25/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.			PHAM, BRENDA H		
	DCKET ADMINISTRATOR 1 CRAWFORDS CORNER ROAD - ROOM 3J-219		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOLMDEL, N			2664	11	
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004	4 <i>l</i> /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/525,090	AHMED ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brenda Pham	2664	_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a standard which a standard with a standa	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>12-18</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 7,9.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:					

····

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/28/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' arguments on page 5, that "Jain, does not anticipate, show or suggest transmitting periodically as pointed out in Applicants' claim 7 or at different times as pointed out in Applicants' claim 9". Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicants' argument because Jain indeed teaches these claimed limitations. Jain teaches in paragraph 6.2 that for a node, for instance, node S, to maintain the centers property and keep the routing table at nodes consistent, node S need to periodically exchange "hello" messages to discover their neighboring topology. The step of transmitting periodically performed or transmitting at different time is indeed teaching in Jain in order to update routing table. Therefore, claims 7 and 9 remain rejected.

Conclusion

2. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Fax to:

(703) 872-9314, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Application/Control Number: 09/525,090

Art Unit: 2664

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-0148. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin, can be reached on (703) 305-4366.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

June 22, 2004 Brenda Pham

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600